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Quickest path problem
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Let us consider the quickest path of a given length $k$ ($k$-flow) problem. It allows us to determine the quickest path among all paths that contain $k$ arcs. For the first time the quickest path problem was mentioned in [1]. The mathematical model of the quickest path of a given length problem is the following:

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in U} \left( c_{ij} + \delta_{ij} \frac{G}{d_{ij}} \right) x_{ij} \rightarrow \min,$$

$$\sum_{j \in I_{i}^{+}(U)} x_{ij} - \sum_{j \in I_{i}^{-}(U)} x_{ji} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = s, \\ -1, & i = t, \\ 0, & i \in I \setminus \{s, t\}. \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & (i, j) = (i_{*}, j_{*}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

$$d_{i,j_{*}} = \min_{x_{ij} \neq 0} \{d_{ij}, (i, j) \in U \setminus (i_{*}, j_{*}) \},$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}, (i, j) \in U,$$

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in M} x_{ij} = k, M \subseteq U,$$

$$I_{i}^{+}(U) = \{j : (i, j) \in U\}, \quad I_{i}^{-}(U) = \{j : (j, i) \in U\}$$

where $S = \{I, U\}$ is a connected directed net without parallel arcs and loops with a set of nodes $I$ and a set of arcs $U$; $d_{ij}$ is the capacity of the arc $(i, j)$; $x_{ij}$ is the flow of the arc $(i, j)$; $c_{ij} \geq 0$ is the time needed to pass the arc $(i, j) \in U$, passing time; $c_{ij} \geq 0$, $s$ is the source, $t$ is the destination, $M$ is the set of arc of the path from $s$ to $t$ without repeated nodes. Capacity $d_{ij}$ of the arc $(i, j)$ stands for the maximum number of data units, transferred from the node $i$ to node $j$ within a time unit. The time $c_{ij}$ of the arc $(i, j)$ traversal stands for the time consumed while sending $G$ data units from the node $i$ to the node $j$ through the arc $(i, j)$. 385
While solving the problem of the quickest path of a given length $k$ from node $s$ to node $t$ the aim is to get a set of the prevalent paths for node $t$.

Recall [2] that the path $L_i$ from the node $s$ to the node $t$ with capacity equal to $c_i$ is prevalent over the path $L_j$ with the capacity equal to $c_j$ if the following relations hold true:

$$T_i < T_j, \ c_i \geq c_j, \ or \ T_i \leq T_j, \ c_i > c_j,$$

$$T_i = \sum_{(i,j) \in L_i} c_{ij}, \ T_j = \sum_{(i,j) \in L_j} c_{ij},$$

$T_i$ is the time of the path $L_i$ traversal and $T_j$ is the time of the path $L_j$ traversal. Then the time of the flow $G$ transference through the path $L_p$ is equal to

$$T_p + \frac{G}{c_p}, \ c_p = \min_{(i,j) \in L_p} c_{ij}, \ p = i, j.$$

Let $Q_t$ stand for the set of prevalent paths for node $t$. This set has a lot of characteristics [2].

**Theorem 1** For any paths $L_i, L_j \in Q_t$ the following inequalities hold:

$$T_i < T_j, \ c_i < c_j, \ or \ T_i > T_j, \ c_i > c_j. \ (1)$$

**Proof.** Let $T_i > T_j$, and $c_i < c_j$. The equality is possible in only one of these inequalities. Then the path $L_i$ is prevalent over $L_j$. This contradicts to the fact that the path $L_j$ is prevalent and belongs to the set of prevalent paths. The case $T_i < T_j$ and $c_i > c_j$ can be proved in the same way. The theorem is proved.

The set of prevalent paths can be ordered with the passing time and the capacity ascending.

**Theorem 2** Let $L_t \in Q_t$ be some prevalent path, which passes through node $i \in I$. Then the subpath $L_i$ (part of the path from the source to node $i$) is a prevalent path for this node $i$, i.e., $L_i \in Q_i$.

**Proof.** Let there be a path $L_j \in Q_i$, which is prevalent over the path $L_i \in Q_i$. Then the passing time and the capacity of the paths $L_j$ satisfy the relations (1). Let us substitute the subpath $L_i$ of the path $L_t$ with the prevalent path $L_j$. According to (1), the passing time of the obtained path $L_i$ is less than the passing time of the path $L_i$ with the same or greater capacity or with the greater capacity and the same passing time. But this contradicts to the statement that the path is a prevalent path for the node $t$. The theorem is proved.
So, for any paths $L_i, L_j \in Q_t$ the following inequalities hold true:

$$T_i < T_j, \ c_i < c_j, \ or \ T_i > T_j, \ c_i > c_j.$$

In one of the inequalities of each group the equality is possible. Hence, the set of the prevalent paths can be arranged in the order, in which the traversal time and the capacity are ascending.

If $L_t \in Q_t$ is a prevalent path for the node $t$, which passes through node $i \in I$, then the subpath $L_i$ (part of the path $L_t$ from the source to node $i$) is a prevalent path $L_i \in Q_i$ for this node.

Though not every path from the set of prevalent paths is a solution with some $G$. The alternative is possible when the prevalent path is not the quickest one no matter what the transferred data quantity is. The set of prevalent paths has certain characteristics that allow to derive conditions of the completion of the algorithm “in advance” while solving the quickest path problem with the transferred data quantity $G$ given a priori.

Let $G$ be given and a certain path be determined. The cost function for this path with this $G$ is $F_G$. If the time of another path traversal is greater than $F_G$, that path cannot be the quickest one no matter what the capacity is.

When using the algorithm, that extracts prevalent paths with traversal time ascending we can stop the algorithm exactly when the traversal time of the new path exceeds the best cost function value for all already known paths.

The same can be derived for the case of the prevalent path extraction with the capacity descending. Let $G$ be given and a certain prevalent path be determined. Let the cost function value for this path with this $G$ is $F_G$. Then if the capacity of some other path is less or equal to $\frac{G}{F_G}$, then this path cannot be the quickest one no matter what the traversal time is. When using the algorithm, that extracts prevalent paths with the capacity descending, we can stop the algorithm exactly when the newly derived path capacity is greater or equal to $\frac{G}{F_G}$.

Let $d_i$ be the already known minimum time of the path traversal from $s$ to $i$. Let $d_i = \infty, i \in I \setminus \{s\}$ and $d_s = 0$. Let us order the arcs of the net with their capacities descending, and add them to the net just in the same order. In the process of adding the arc $(i, j)$ with capacity $d_{ij}$ and traversal time $c_{ij}$ the following steps should be carried out:

1. If $d_i \neq \infty$ and $d_i + c_{ij} \leq d_j$, that is if we have found a path to $j$ with a lower time than known before, then we should recalculate graph’s labels starting with node $j$. Dijkstra algorithm with the priority queue [3] or basic algorithm modification can be used for this recalculation.

2. If $d_t$ has been changed after recalculation, we add a new path to the list of the prevalent paths of node $t$. The capacity of this path is equal to $d_{ij}$ and traversal time is equal to $d_t$. 
So, while adding the appropriate arc in the descending order, it is checked for each capacity, whether a path from \(s\) to \(t\) with time traversal which is less than already known ones exists. If it exists and its time traversal is less than the time traversal of the already derived path, then there are no prevalent paths over this newly derived one and it is added to the set of the prevalent paths. Otherwise the already known paths are prevalent over the newly derived one. So, this algorithm extracts the whole set of prevalent paths for the given node.

During the realization of the algorithm which finds the shortest paths in the formed graph of the connected components there is an opportunity to modify the algorithm in order to consider the data that has been already obtained at the previous steps of the algorithm. According to Dijkstra's algorithm which uses heaps it can be realized in the following way: when recalculating the marks \(d_i\) we should take into consideration the marks that were obtained at the previous algorithm steps. It means to store the mark values, while applying Dijkstra's algorithm to the already known ones. So, it is necessary to solve the quickest path problem from node \(s\) to node \(t\) on the net where the traversal time stands for the arc length.

It is obvious that the path with the length \(k\) which minimizes this value is the quickest path of the given length in the initial net.

**Theorem 3** The number of operations of the algorithm where capacities stand in the descending order is equal to \(O(m^2 + mn \log n)\) where \(n\) stands for the number of nodes, and \(m\) stands for the number of arcs of the initial net \(S\).

**Proof.** The maximum number of algorithm steps is equal to the maximum number of arcs (equal to \(m\)) in the net. In the worst case at each step of the algorithm the shortest path problem is being solved. The process of solving the shortest path problem takes time which is equal to \(O(m + n \log n)\) [3]. So, the total time for each step of the algorithm is equal to \(O(m + n \log n)\), and the total time for the algorithm is equal to \(O(m^2 + mn \log n)\). The theorem is proved.

This modification has a lot of advantages. It solves the problem on a small net gradually extending, it and in case of the problem with a given quantity of the transferred data allows us to find the solution without analyzing the whole net. One of the conditions, that stops the algorithm is the case when capacity of the next added arc is less than \(G/F_G\).

With the help of this algorithm one can solve the problem of the quickest path to all net nodes or to some subset of the nodes. In order to do this one should check if the marks of nodes of the given set have changed after the recalculation of the marks. This algorithm reduces the number of operations while finding the prevalent path for the next capacity because it effectively uses the information about the net that has been obtained from the previous steps.

Let us motivate this algorithm. Because the traversal time of the path is the key element in the heap in our case. The paths extracted from the heap, have the
minimal time, because the passing time of the path is the key element in the heap in our case. Such path extraction for some node $i$ (its capacity is greater than $d_i$) means that there are no prevalent paths to the given node over our one. If its capacity is less than $d_i$ than the prevalent path exists. If the path is prevalent for the given node then all possible continuations should be considered, except for the ones with the already known prevalent paths. Thus, at the moment the the algorithm stops, each node will contain the list of prevalent paths to it from node $s$.

Let us show the work of the algorithm on the example for the following net (Figure 1):
Figure 3. Add arc \((s, 1)\). The mark of node \(t\) changes. The first prevalent path of the given length 3 is \(s-1-2-t\).

Figure 4. Add arc \((1, 3)\).

Figure 5. Add arc \((s, 3)\).
Figure 6. Add arc (3,t). The mark of node t changes. The second prevalent path of the given length 3 is s-1-3-t.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prevalent paths</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s-1-2-t</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-1-3-t</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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